

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,100	11/13/2003	Hiroaki Sekizawa	448563/0237	9503
Lawrence Rosenthal Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP			EXAMINER	
			CHU, GABRIEL L	
180 Maiden La New York, NY	<del></del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2114	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

, ,				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/714,100	SEKIZAWA, HIROAKI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Gabriel L. Chu	2114	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			
Period f	or Reply			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			·	۰
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	Sentember 2007		
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	• •	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
·	The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 i		objected to by the Examiner.	
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- ·	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		, ,	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	, , , ,	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
-	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	g., p.,,	, , , , , (=, (=, (, ,	
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No. 09/226.332.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· ·	
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
			•	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	•
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
	er No(s)/Mail Date 20031113	6) Cother:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number:

10/714,100 Art Unit: 2114

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Referring to claim 1, and subsequently 2-7, the limitation beginning "a data transmitting unit..." is still unclear. It is now understood to refer, conceptually, to a local monitoring unit having a transmission unit that transmits data to an integrated monitor, the data for registering a machine associated with the local monitoring unit and for registering a first local network connected to the associated machine, the registering is to the integrated monitor, the registering is through the second global network. It is further unclear, though, what is intended by the last limitation "prepared based on the individual information retained by the local information retaining unit into the integrated monitor unit". Is it the data that is prepared? Is it the information that is "retained into" the integrated monitor unit? What does "retained into" mean?

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated

Application/Control Number:

10/714,100 Art Unit: 2114

by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 14-60, 65-69 of U.S. Patent No. 6430711. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-18 of the instant application are anticipated by claims 14-60, 65-69 of U.S. Patent No. 6430711 in that claims 14-60, 65-69 of U.S. Patent No. 6430711 contain all of the limitations of claims 1-18 of the instant application. Claims 1-18 of the instant application therefore are not patently distinct from the earlier patent claims, and as such are unpatentable for obvious-type double patenting. (In re Goodman (CAFC) 29 USPQ2d 2010). While limitations of the claims of 1-18 may be broader than the claims of the instant application, the language and the disclosure of '711 indicate that the limitation of claims of the instant application are merely a subset of '711. These differences are not sufficient to render the claims patentably distinct. Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. United States Gympsum Co., 195 F.3d 1322, 1325, 52 USPQ2d 1590, 1593 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

#### Response

10/714,100 Art Unit: 2114

- 6. Referring to Applicant's amendment for claim 1, this does not appear to clarify the limitation indicated. Examiner has further pointed out the disclarity in the 112 rejection above.
- 7. Referring to the remaining double patenting rejection, Applicant indicated that a terminal disclaimer would be filed to US 6430711, however this does not appear to be the case.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel L. Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3656. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number:

10/714,100 Art Unit: 2114

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gabriel L. Chu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2114